



Planned delivery route of preterm breech singletons, and neonatal and 2-year outcomes: a population-based cohort study

Submitted by Beatrice Guillaumat on Tue, 11/20/2018 - 09:16

Titre	Planned delivery route of preterm breech singletons, and neonatal and 2-year outcomes: a population-based cohort study
Type de publication	Article de revue
Auteur	Lorthe, E [1], Sentilhes, Loic [2], Quere, M [3], Lebeaux, C [4], Winer, N [5], Torchin, H [6], Goffinet, F [7], Delorme, P [8], Kayem, G [9], Gascoin-Lachambre, Géraldine [10]
Organisme	EPIPAGE-2 Obstetric Writing Group [11]
Editeur	Wiley
Type	Article scientifique dans une revue à comité de lecture
Année	2019
Langue	Anglais
Date	14 Sept. 2018
Numéro	1
Pagination	73-82
Volume	126
Titre de la revue	BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology
ISSN	1471-0528
Mots-clés	Breech [12], caesarean [13], Cerebral palsy [14], delivery route [15], EPIPAGE-2 [16], mode of delivery [17], neonatal outcomes [18], neurosensory impairment [19], prematurity [20], vaginal delivery [21]

OBJECTIVE: To assess whether planned route of delivery is associated with perinatal and 2-year outcomes for preterm breech singletons.

DESIGN: Prospective nationwide population-based EPIPAGE-2 cohort study.

SETTING: France, 2011.

SAMPLE: Three hundred and ninety women with breech singletons born at 26-34 weeks of gestation after preterm labour or preterm prelabour rupture of membranes.

METHODS: Propensity-score analysis.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: Survival at discharge, survival at discharge without severe morbidity, and survival at 2 years of corrected age without neurosensory impairment.

RESULTS: Vaginal and caesarean deliveries were planned in 143 and 247 women, respectively. Neonates with planned vaginal delivery and planned caesarean delivery did not differ in survival (93.0 versus 95.7%, $P = 0.14$), survival at discharge without severe morbidity (90.4 versus 89.9%, $P = 0.85$), or survival at 2 years without neurosensory impairment (86.6 versus 91.6%, $P = 0.11$). After applying propensity scores and assigning inverse probability of treatment weighting, as compared with planned vaginal delivery, planned caesarean delivery was not associated with improved survival (odds ratio, OR 1.31; 95% confidence interval, 95% CI 0.67-2.59), survival without severe morbidity (OR 0.75, 95% CI 0.45-1.27), or survival at 2 years without neurosensory impairment (OR 1.04, 95% CI 0.60-1.80). Results were similar after matching on propensity score.

CONCLUSIONS: No association between planned caesarean delivery and improved outcomes for preterm breech singletons born at 26-34 weeks of gestation after preterm labour or preterm prelabour rupture of membranes was found. The route of delivery should be discussed with women, balancing neonatal outcomes with the higher risks of maternal morbidity associated with caesarean section performed at low gestational age.

Résumé en anglais

URL de la notice

<http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications/ua18109> [22]

DOI

Lien vers le document

<https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1471-0528.15466> [23]

Titre abrégé BJOG

Identifiant

(ID) PubMed 30216654 [24]

Liens

- [1] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=30530>
- [2] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=32630>
- [3] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=30531>
- [4] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=30532>
- [5] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=1936>
- [6] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=30533>
- [7] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=30534>
- [8] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=30535>
- [9] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=30536>
- [10] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/g.gascoin/publications>
- [11] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bauthor%5D=30537>
- [12] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=28462>
- [13] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=28463>
- [14] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=10102>

- [15] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=28464>
- [16] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=28465>
- [17] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=28466>
- [18] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=28467>
- [19] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=28468>
- [20] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=26418>
- [21] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f%5Bkeyword%5D=28469>
- [22] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications/ua18109>
- [23] <https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1471-0528.15466>
- [24] <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30216654?dopt=Abstract>

Publié sur *Okina* (<http://okina.univ-angers.fr>)